

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addeas: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,700	05/07/2007	Elliott P. Dawson	14160-1US	9787
T550 1000/2009 Louis Svedsen Tennessee Board of Regents 1415 Murfreesboro Road, Suite 350 Nashville, TR 37217-2833			EXAMINER	
			SITTON, JEHANNE SOUAYA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/578,700 DAWSON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jehanne S. Sitton 1634

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If NO period for reply is specified above, the movemen statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure for reply within the set or calended period for reply will by statute on become ABANDONED (25 US.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned pattern term disjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).
Status
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2006</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <u>Ex parte Quayle</u> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
Aliand Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)
Attachment(s)

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5] Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/578,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Groups 1-2, claim(s) 1, in part, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide comprising at least 17 contiguous nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 1, comprising position 2285 and 2286, where position 2286 is a C (group 1), or 3281 and 3282 where position 3282 is a G (group 2).

Groups 3-9, claim(s) 2, in part, drawn to isolated genetic material that indicates the presence or absence of dyslexia wherein the material comprises a sufficient portion of SEQ ID NO: 1 comprising of one of 7 different haplotypes. Each group is directed to a different haplotype. Group 3 is directed to haplotype 1. Group 9 is directed to haplotype 7.

Groups 10-18, claim(s) 3-16, in part, drawn to a method of diagnosing dyslexia by analyzing genetic material from chromosome 5 for the presence of one or more of the polynucleotides from claim 1 (groups 10 and 11, group 10 is directed position 2286 is a C, and group 11 is directed to position 3282 is a G), or a haplotype of claim 2 (groups 12-18, group 12 is directed to haplotype 1, group 18 is directed to haplotype 7). It is noted that applicant may elect a specific combination of polymorphisms and/or haplotypes.

Groups 19-22, claim(s) 17 and 18, in part, drawn to a kit comprising primers of SEQ ID NOS 2-9. Each group is directed to a primer pair. Group 19 is directed to primer pair of SEQ ID NOS 2 and 3. Group 22 is directed to primer pair of SEQ ID NOS 8-9.

Groups 23-24, claim(s) 19-20, in part, drawn to cDNA, including SEQ ID NOS 12 or 13. Group 23 is directed to SEQ ID NO: 12, and Group 24 is directed to SEQ ID NO: 13.

2. The inventions listed as Groups 1-24 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the claims directed to genetic material comprising portions of SEQ ID NO: 1 containing different haplotypes encompasses an array of probes which comprises portions of SEQ ID NO: 1, including the specified polymorphic positions. Fodor (US Patent 6,582,908) teaches an array of all possible 10 mer nucleic acids, which reads on the

Application/Control Number: 10/578,700

Art Unit: 1634

genetic material of claim 2. Therefor, claim 2 does not represent a special technical feature over the prior art. Accordingly, the claims lack the same or corresponding special technical feature over the prior art. Additionally, the claims are directed to distinct haplotypes containing different polymorphic positions, or to structurally distinct oligomers (Groups 1 and 2), or to methods of diagnosing using these structurally distinct haplotypes or oligomers. The nucleic acids so encompassed lack the same or corresponding special technical feature due to the different structures, which do not contain a common structure related to function, encompassed by each polynucleotide. Additionally, Groups 19-22 encompass structurally distinct primers, and Groups 23-24 are drawn to structurally distinct cDNA sequences. Accordingly, these claims lack the same or corresponding special technical feature and lack unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an
election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed
(37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected

Application/Control Number: 10/578,700

Art Unit: 1634

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to examiner Jehanne Sitton whose telephone number is (571) 2720752. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays from 9:00 AM to 1:00 PM, and
Tuesdays & Thursdays from 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz, can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-3197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center are will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business stype. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's PAIR specimen provides interned-based access to patent applications attain an abstract of the patent between on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR specime provides interned-based access to patent applicants attain an abstract patent between the problem of the specimen problem interned-based access to patent applicants attain an abstract patent produced in the problem of the problem within the problem patent between the patent patent between the patent patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

/Jehanne Sitton/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634